2869

REMARKS

The Applicants object to the Examiner's withdrawal of claims 2, 4-6, 9-13, 15-16, and 25-27 on the basis of there being no allowable generic or making claim.

The Applicants respectfully point out that there is only one independent claim, namely claim 1, which, if allowable, is linked to each and every one of the dependent claims including claims 2, 4-6, 9-13, 15-16, and 25-27. Withdrawal of these claims would require redundant addition of these claims depending upon the allowability of claim 1.

Accordingly, the Applicants respectfully request the Examiner do not withdraw claims 2, 4-6, 9-13, 15-16, and 25-27 but rather consider them as dependent claims.

The Examiner has also objected to the listing of the references in the specification is not a proper Information Disclosure Statement.

The Applicants submit that each of the references cited in the Application are also listed on the Information Disclosure Statement by the Applicant and signed by the Examiner, copy enclosed.

Thus, the Applicants request consideration thereof by the Examiner.

The Examiner has rejected claims 1, 3, 19, and 21-24 as being anticipated by U.S. 4,366,169 to White. In this rejection, the Examiner states that White teaches a substrate

and oxygen carrier wherein the carrier comprises a fluorocarbon compositions which are lipophilic of various polymeric compositions.

The Applicants submit that anticipation is established only when a single prior art reference discloses, expressly or under principles of inherency, each and every element of the claimed invention. RCA Corp. v. Applied Digital Data Systems, Inc. 221 USPQ 385 (Fed. Cir. 1984); In re Sun 31 USPQ 2d 1451 (CAFC 1993); Advanced Display Systems, Inc. v. Kent State University 54 USPQ 2d 1673 (CAFC 2000).

Further, the Examiner must identify wherein each and every facet of the claimed invention is disclosed in the applied reference. Ex Parte Levy 17 USPQ 2d 1461 (USPTO Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences 1990).

In addition, the Applicants submit that anticipation must meet strict standards and unless all of the same elements are found in exactly the same situation and united in the same way to form identical function in a single prior art reference, there is no anticipation. Tights, Inc. v. Acme-McCary Corporation, et al. 191 USPQ 305 (CAFC 1976).

Bearing in mind this criteria, the Applicants submit that although White discloses the use of perfluorocarbons for wounds, White does not disclose the element of an oxygen source serving for oxygenating blood and tissue, as presently claimed in independent claim 1 and in all depending claims.

In contrast, White explicitly excludes the oxygenation of the blood system, see column 5, lines 45-52, and claim 1.

The substantially fluorinated carbon material taught by White has an ability to transport oxygen. However, it is not a delivery source of oxygen as presently claimed.

In view of the fact that there is no teaching in White of a delivery source of oxygen, all the elements of the presently claimed invention are not taught nor suggested in White. Accordingly, a rejection of the claims under 35 USC 102(b) is not sustainable and the Applicants respectfully request the Examiner to withdraw this rejection.

Claims 1, 3, 22, and 24 have been rejected by the Examiner under 35 USC 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. 6,110,483 to Whitbourne, et al. The Examiner states that Whitbourne, et al. teaches a substrate and an oxygen carrier wherein the carrier comprises a fluorocarbon compositions which are lipophilic of various polymer compositions.

Again, bearing in mind the hereinabove criteria set forth for finding anticipation under 35 USC 102, the Applicants submit that all the elements of the presently claimed invention are not present. In Whitbourne, et al., the fluorocarbon as described in column 1, line 16, is a coating material. In this case, the fluorocarbon forms a substrate which can be medicated (i.e., soaked or otherwise filled with the medical material).

In contrast, the present invention concerns the fluorocarbon as the oxygen carrier which is intended to be supported within a substrate. Again, since all of the elements in the presently claimed invention are not taught or suggested by the Whitbourne, et al. reference, a rejection under 35 USC

102(b) is not sustainable. The Applicants respectfully request the Examiner to withdraw the rejection of claims under 35 USC 102(b) on the basis of the Whitbourne, et al. reference.

The Applicants acknowledge their obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and inventor dates of each claim and that all of the claims are commonly owned by the inventors.

Claims 1, 3, 7-8, 14, 19-24, and 28 have been rejected by the Examiner under 35 USC 103(a) as being unpatentable over White in view of U.S. 6,146,358 to Rowe. In this rejection, the Examiner states that White teaches all of the limitations of the claims except for explicitly reciting a balloon substrate or polymer including pores having sizes and the range of 20-200 microns. The Examiner concludes it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have utilized the composition as taught by White with the composition delivery device of Rowe for the well-known purpose of delivering the medical composition to a body surface as taught by White.

In response thereto, the Applicants point out that Rowe discloses a balloon catheter whereby a therapeutic agent with a carrier can be coated on the balloon. In this case, the carrier and therapeutic agent form the coating and no substrate is provided.

In contrast to the present invention, Rowe's disclosure and coating consist of a carrier and agent which is intended to be removed from the balloon at the site of treatment. The embodiment is described in claim 1 is further differentiated, whereby the agent is present in the form of microcapsules and

affixed to the balloon by means of a mesh expandable stent. In both cases, the disclosure of Rowe does not lead the present invention.

Specifically, the usage of a mesh stent does not imply the expert that a porous material with a predetermined pore size could be used.

Accordingly, the Applicants submit that the Examiner, in fact, has not made a prima facie case of obviousness on the basis of the White and Rowe references. Therefore, the Applicants respectfully request the Examiner to withdraw the rejection of the claims under 35 USC 103(b) on the basis of the White and Rowe references.

In view of the arguments hereinabove set forth, it is submitted that each of the claims now in the Application define patentable subject matter not anticipated by the art of record and not obvious to one skilled in this field who is aware of the references of record. Reconsideration and allowance are respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

Mille

HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THIS CORRESPONDENCE IS Walter A. Hackler

AS FIRST CLASS MAIL IN AN ENVELOPE ADDRESSED Attorney of Record

TO: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS, PO BOX 1450 ALEXANDRIA, VIRGINIA 22313-1460, ON

Registration No. 27,792

2372 S.E. Bristol Street, Suite B

WALTER A. HACKLER Newport Beach, California 92660 REG. NO. 27, 792

(949) 851-5010

PTO/SB/08A (10-01)
Approved for use through 10/31/2002, OMB 0651-0031
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office: U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

2869

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, notice are required to respond to a collection of information unless it contains a valid OMB control number. Substitute for form PAPA/PTO PAPA/PTO PRADE/A Complete if Known 2869 **Application Number** INFORMATION DISCLOSURE **JANUARY 3, 2002** Filing Date DR. CHRISTOPH HEHRLEIN et al. STATEMENT BY APPLICANT First Named Inventor 3736 Art Unit (use as many sheets as necessary) **Examiner Name**

Attorney Docket Number

MAR 2 8 2005

of

2

		II C DAT	ENT DOCUMENTS	
Examiner	Cite No.1 Number Kind Code	Publication Date	Name of Patentee or Applicant of Cited Document	Pages, Columns, Lines, Where Relevant Passages or Relevant Figures Appear
198	US- 3,958,014	05-18-1976	WATANABE et al.	
	US- 4,252,827	02-24-1981	YOKOYAMA et al.	
	US- 4,445,500	05-01-1984	JEWELL L. OSTERHOLM	
	US- 4,636,195	01-13-1987	HARVEY WOLINSKY	
	US- 4,795,423	01-03-1989	JEWELL L. OSTERHOM	
	US- 4,909,252	03-20-1990	JEFFREY GOLDBERGER	
-	US- 4,944,745	07-31-1990	SOGARD et al.	
	US- 5,059,166	10-22-1991	FISCHELL et al.	3.1
	US- 5,087,247	02-11-1992	HORN et al.	32 72
	US- 5,199,939	04-06-1993	DAKE et al.	
	US- 5,951,458	09-14-1999	HASTINGS et al.	0 20
	US- 6,048,332	04-11-2000	DUFFY et al.	
1	US-			
	US-			[
Examiner Cite	US-			
	US-			25
	US-			
	US-			
1	US-			
	US-			

	*. 			FOR	EIGN PATENT DO	CUMENTS		
Examiner Cite Initials' No.1		Office ³	Foreign Patent Do	 j ^s	Publication Date MM-DD-YYYY	Name of Patentee or Applicant of Cited Document	Pages, Columns, Lines, Where Relevant Passages or Relevant Figures Appear	T ⁰
MA			WO 97/32626		09-12-1997	Scimed Life Systems, Inc.		_
- <i>7</i>								_
								-
				 1				+
			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	 				+
		-						
		+-1						
		1	//		/			

Signature *EXAMINER: Initial's reference considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 609. Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not

Date

Considered

Burden Hour Statement: This form is estimated to take 2.0 hours to complete. Time will vary depending upon the needs of the Individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you are required to complete this form should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, Patent and Trademark Office, Washington, DC 20231. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Assistant Commissioner for Patents, Washington, DC 20231.

Examiner

considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant. Applicant's unique citation designation number (optional). ² See Kinds Codes of USPTO Patent Documents at www.uspto.gov or MPEP 901.04. ³Enter Office that issued the document, by the two-letter code (WIPO Standard ST.3). For Japanese patent documents, the indication of the year of the reign of the Emperor must precede the serial number of the patent document. Kind of document by the appropriate symbols as indicated on the document under WIPO Standard ST. 16 if possible. Applicant is to place a check mark here if English language Translation is attached.

		3.7	1995, Ap persons Regardired	ام الا	Appn U.S. Patent and Trader espond to a collection of inform	PTO/SB/08B (10-01) oved for use through 10/31/2002. OMB 0851-0031 nark Office: U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE nation unless it contains a valid OMB control numbe	r.	
			To May		Complete if Known			
วของแบ	(8 to: lo urname th (,	THE WILL WILL WILL WILL WILL WILL WILL WIL	7	Application Number	2869		
INFO	DRMATION	I DI	SCLOSURE		Filing Date	JANUARY 3, 2002]	
ATS	TEMENT P	3V	ΔΡΡΙ ΙζΔΝΤ		First Named Inventor	DR. CHRISTOPH HEHRLEIN et al.		
Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, of persons General Substitute for romandation PTO INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT BY APPLICANT (use as many sheets as necessary)					Group Art Unit	3736		
	(use as many s	heets	as necessary)		Examiner Name	<u> </u>		
Sheet	2	of	2		Attorney Docket Number	2869	/	

		OTHER PRIOR ART - NON PATENT LITERATURE DOCUMENTS	
Examiner Initials*	Cite No.1	Include name of the author (in CAPITAL LETTERS), title of the article (when appropriate), title of the item (book, magazine, journal, serial, symposium, catalog, etc.), date, page(s), volume-issue number(s), publisher, city and/or country where published.	Υs
M		MARKUS FERRARI et al. "CORONARY FLOW ANALYSIS DURING AUTOPERFUSION ANGIOPLASTY" CORONARY ARTERY DISEASE November/December 1997, Vol 8 No. 11/12, Pages 697-702 Rapid Science Publishers, USA	
W		WALTER A. TAN et al. "LONG-TERM CLINICAL OUTCOMES AFTER UNPROTECTED LEFT MAIN TRUNK PERCUTANEOUS REVASCULARIZATION IN 279 PATIENTS" Circulation, October 2, 2001, Pages 1609-1614, Vol. 104, USA	
		TC 37 T 70	
		CEIN CO FIA	
		L Ropy	
Examine Signatur		May May Date Considered 01/03/05	_

*EXAMINER: Initial if reference considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 609. Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant.

Burden Hour Statement: This form is estimated to take 2.0 hours to complete. Time will vary depending upon the needs of the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you are required to complete this form should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, Patent and Trademark Office, Washington, DC 20231. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Assistant Commissioner for Patents, Washington, DC 20231.

¹ Applicant's unique citation designation number (optional). ² Applicant is to place a check mark here if English language Translation is attached.

MAR 2 8 2015 OF

PTO/SB/08a (06-03)
Approved for use through 07/31/2003. OMB 0651-0031
U.S. Palent and Trademark Office: U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office: U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Under the Peperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it contains a valid OMB control number.

Substitute for form 1449A/PTO TRADSTATEMENT BY APPLICANT

(use as many sheets as necessary)

Complete if Known

Application Number 10/038,468

Filing Date 01/03/2002

First Named Inventor CHRISTOPH HEHRLEIN

Art Unit 3736

Examiner Name UNKNOWN

Sheet		1		of		1			Attorney Doo	OKCI ITOIL		2869			
							U.S	. PAT	ENT DOCUM	MENTS					
aminer tials	Cite No. ¹	Docu	ment Nu	imber Kind Co	ode 2		ation D	ate	Name	e of Paten t of Cited (tee or Docum	ent	Pag Rel	es, Columns, Lines, Who evant Passages or Relev Figures Appear	ere ant
		US- 4,3	366,169	'''' †	12-28-	1982		DAVID C. WHITE							
		US-				1									
		US-	,, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,			1									
		US-				1									
		US-				7									·····
		US-													
		US-					Λ_							7	
		US-					\sum_{i}							There	
		US-					1						000		
		US-						\					ULT	<i>8</i>	
		US-						1				TECHN	10100	1 1005	
		US-						$\overline{}$					PLUG	CENTER R3700	
		US-												H3700	
		US-		_,					\				╂		*******
		US-							\				 	<u>. </u>	
		US-							-				 		
		us-							 \ 				 		
		US-							 				1 /		
		US-							 						
		US-													
				· <u>·</u>			FOR	EIGN	PATENT DO	DCUME	NTS			Pages, Columns, Line	· I
xaminer nitials*	Cite No.1	Office		gn Pat Numbe		ocument Kin	d ⁵		blication Date M-DD-YYYY	Ар	Name plicant	of Patent of Cited C	ee or Jocument	Where Relevant	- 1
100		_	0.373.0	100 A 1		(11 101	1	06-1	13-1990	SUM	ITOM	O ELECT	RIC		
		EP	0 372 (04-1984			is hosp			
4		EP	0 112 6	038 A.	<u>. </u>		-			-	- _	<u> </u>			
004	<u> </u>						/	 	·•						
· · · · · · · · ·							-		,						
				_				1							
						7		1							
							 /		1						·
		-				1	1/		×	·					
	-		1	,	12)	/	1							1,	ل
Exam	iner		1/	2/1	//	1	11	1	1	M	Date	sidered	1	the she	

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.97 and 1.98. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.14. This collection is estimated to take 2 hours to by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.14. This collection is estimated to take 2 hours to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.